

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE August 15, 2017 Family farm groups from three countries slam NAFTA reboot based on TPP Contacts: Josh Wise, 952-818-5474, jwise@iatp.org Quinton Robinson, 703-975-4466, <u>quintonnrobinson@nffc.net</u> Jan Slomp, 403-704-4364, <u>marian.jan@gmail.com</u> Victor Suarez Carrera, victor.suarez@anec.org.mx

As the formal talks to renegotiate NAFTA begin in Washington, DC this week, family farm organizations from Canada, the United States and Mexico denounce the direction of the talks. Despite <u>repeated</u> <u>demands</u> by civil society organizations in all three countries, the governments have refused to open the talks to the public or to publish proposed negotiating texts. All signs point to negotiations designed to increase agribusiness exports and corporate control over the food system rather than to support fair and sustainable trade and farming systems.

The Trump administration has stated its clear intention to continue its trend of putting multinational corporations' narrow interests first by using the same blueprint that shaped the failed Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP). A review of submissions on the talks includes proposals to dismantle Canada's successful dairy supply management program and eliminate restrictions on trade in GMOs and other agricultural biotechnology.

"Under NAFTA and its forerunner, the Canada-US FTA, farm input costs have gone up and inflationadjusted commodity prices have dropped, yet the farmer's share of the grocery dollar is smaller. We export more, but imports have increased faster, which means our share of our own domestic market is actually shrinking," said Jan Slomp, President of Canada's National Farmers Union. "NAFTA and the FTA have not helped farmers. Since 1988 we have seen one in every five of our farms disappear and we've lost over 70% of our young farmers, even though Canada's population has increased."

"The USA cannot solve its dairy crisis by taking over the Canadian dairy market and putting our farmers out of business," said Slomp. "We need Canada to stand firm against any temptation to negotiate away supply management. Our system ensures farmers are paid the cost of production, processing plants are able to run at full capacity and consumers have a reliable, wholesome and affordable supply of dairy, poultry and eggs – all without any government subsidies."

Jim Goodman, a Wisconsin dairy farmer and member of the National Family Farm Coalition, agreed. "Federal and State Governments and Land Grant Universities, at the behest of the dairy industry, have done all they can to encourage U.S. dairy farmers to produce more milk, never questioning how much milk might be too much or how the subsequent cheap prices affect farmers. We cannot expect Canada, at the expense of their dairy farmers, to bail us out. Farmers - whether U.S. or Canadian - are nothing more than parts of the machine to the industry and NAFTA. That's the way free trade works."

Ben Burkett, National Family Farm Coalition board president and Mississippi farmer, noted that simply increasing exports will not replace the need for fair prices. "U.S. family farmers and ranchers have

demanded that the administration restores Country of Origin Labeling (COOL) for meat, which would provide more accurate information to consumers while improving our access to markets."

Mexican family farmers, who have been devastated by NAFTA's existing provisions that flooded their markets with cheap grains, will join thousands of labor, environmental and other activists in Mexico City tomorrow to denounce the talks and demand a completely different approach based on complementarity and cooperation. On agriculture, they insist that, "Mexico must guarantee food sovereignty and security and exclude basic grains, especially corn. Transgenic crops should be excluded and the ability of national states to promote sustainable agriculture intact. Likewise, Mexico must maintain its adhesion the UPOV [International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants] Act of 1978 and to reject the commitment to accede to the UPOV Act 1991, as it was intended in the TPP."

Victor Suarez, Executive Director of the Mexican National Association of Rural Producers (ANEC) added that, "This whole process should begin with a thorough, independent evaluation of NAFTA's economic, social, environmental and governance impacts. The goal should be to restore national sovereignty over food and farm policy, and to support local farming communities."

"For many years, Rural Coalition has advocated for a 'people-to-peoples NAFTA' linking rural communities in all three countries to collaborate to improve their local economies and food sovereignty. A renegotiation of NAFTA that further helps transnational corporations while diminishing community selfdetermination will only hasten rural economic collapse --exactly the wrong way to go," said John Zippert, Rural Coalition Chairperson and longtime Federation of Southern Cooperatives staff member in Alabama.

"NAFTA has woven our economies together in ways that hurt family farmers, workers and our environments," said Karen Hansen-Kuhn, Director of International Strategies at the Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy. "We need a new approach to trade that promotes local and regional food systems, including providing for mechanisms in all three countries to shelter food crops from volatile markets and dumping. Simplistic calls to expand exports won't get us to the fair and sustainable food and farm system we need."

As an ongoing tool for understanding NAFTA, IATP has released a primer paper, "<u>NAFTA Renegotiation:</u> <u>What's at stake for food, farmers and the land?</u>" as well as collecting 25 years' worth of research in a NAFTA portal accessible at <u>www.iatp.org/collection/nafta-portal</u>.

###

Based in Minneapolis with offices in Washington, D.C. and Berlin, Germany, the Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy connects the dots of global justice to ensure fair and sustainable food, farm and trade systems.